UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/812,402	03/29/2004	Yoji Taniguchi	1324.70198	1711	
24978 GREER BUR	7590 02/26/2007 NS & CR A IN		EXAMINER		
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN 300 S WACKER DR			BRIGGS, NATHANAEL R		
25TH FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/812,402	TANIGUCHI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Nathanael R. Briggs	2871		

	Mathanaci II. Diiggo	2071				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 15 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS.	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evidence, which compliance with 37 CFR 41.31;	n ; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or the statutory period for reply expire is the statutory period for reply expire is the statutory period for reply expires the statutory period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event.	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.				
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may, reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origon than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extens inally set in the final Office action;	ion fee or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	oliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months of the	datė of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal.	Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);				
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be	w); tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying the issue	s for			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment (PTOL-32	24).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			·			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendment cance	ling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wilded below or appended.	ill be entered and an explanation	on of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,10 and 11</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, by because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is necessa	ary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fails to prov See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	e ⁄ide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attached.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:						
AN AN	DESCHECHTER BRIMARY EXAMINER	Nathanael Briggs 2/21/2007				
I'I	FRIAIR SI FT. FRANCISCO CO.					

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claim 1, "measuring a thickness of a cell or a height of a pillar spacer formed on one of the pair of substrates before and/or after injecting the liquid crystal", is not expected and thus raises new issues that would require a more extensive search and consideration of the prior art.

Furthermore, Examiner notes the following. In view of the papers filed 10/24/2005, the inventorship in this nonprovisional application has been changed by the deletion of inventors Yoji Taniguchi, Hiroyasu Inoue and Kengo Kanii.

The application will be forwarded to the Office of Initial Patent Examination (OIPE) for issuance of a corrected filing receipt, and correction of Office records to reflect the inventorship as corrected.